

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X
FRANCISCO VILLAR, on behalf of himself and,
FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AHRC HOME CARE SERVICES, INC. and
NYSARC, INC.,

Defendants.
-----X

18-CV-9174 (OTW)

ORDER

ONA T. WANG, United States Magistrate Judge:

The Court has reviewed the parties' submissions in support of their revised settlement agreement and heard from the parties at the October 20, 2020 conference. (ECF 53, 56, 57). Prior issues addressed in the Court's August 20, 2020 Opinion and Order (ECF 51) regarding the settlement's compliance with the FLSA and *Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House Inc.*, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015) have been resolved. Accordingly, the Court approves the parties' preliminary settlement, *see attached*. (ECF 48, 56-1).

The parties are directed to submit a joint status letter in 30 days, or **December 7, 2020**.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 6, 2020
New York, New York

s/ Ona T. Wang

Ona T. Wang
United States Magistrate Judge

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----	x	
FRANCISCO VILLAR, <i>on behalf of himself,</i>)	
<i>FLSA Collective Plaintiffs and the Class,</i>)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No.: 18-cv-09174
)	
AHRC HOME CARE SERVICES, INC. and)	ECF Case
NYSARC, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	
)	
-----	x	

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER (1) CONDITIONALLY CERTIFYING SETTLEMENT CLASS
AND COLLECTIVE ACTION, (2) GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL TO
PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND PLAN OF ALLOCATION, (3)
DIRECTING DISSEMINATION OF NOTICE AND RELATED MATERIAL TO THE
CLASS, AND (4) SETTING DATE FOR FAIRNESS HEARING AND RELATED DATES**

After participating in a private mediation session, and despite their adversarial positions in this matter, Named Plaintiff Francisco Villar (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants AHRC Home Care Services, Inc. and NYSARC, Inc. (collectively “Defendants” and with Plaintiff, the “Parties”) negotiated a settlement of this litigation. The terms of the proposed settlement (“Settlement”) are set forth in the proposed Settlement Agreement and Release and Addendum to the Settlement Agreement and Release (collectively, the “Settlement Agreement”).

On January 31, 2020, Plaintiff filed a Notice of Motion For Order (1) Conditionally Certifying Settlement Class, (2) Granting Preliminary Approval To Proposed Class Action Settlement And Plan Of Allocation, (3) Directing Dissemination of Notice And Related Material To The Class, and (4) Setting Date For Fairness Hearing And Related Dates (“Motion”). In his

Motion, Plaintiff requested that, for settlement purposes only, this Court conditionally certify a class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and a co-extensive collective action under Section 16(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act. Plaintiff also requested that the Court grant preliminary approval to the Settlement Agreement, including the plan of allocation in that Agreement, and that the Court approve a proposed Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action Lawsuit and Fairness Hearing (“Class Notice,” attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement). By Order dated June 3, 2020 the Court requested additional information regarding the fairness of the settlement, which Plaintiff provided on June 19, 2020. On August 20, 2020 the Court issued an Order denying preliminary approval, without prejudice. On October 13, 2020 the Parties submitted additional information in response to the Court’s Order. The Court held a hearing on October 20, 2020 and, on October 27, 2020 the Parties entered into the Addendum, which was filed with the Court on October 27, 2020.

Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and Release and Motion, along with the Parties’ prior submissions in this matter, the June 19, 2020 and October 13, 2020 supplements, and the Addendum, the Court now FINDS, CONCLUDES, AND ORDERS as follows:

I. Background

Plaintiff brings claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”) and the New York Labor Law (“NYLL”). Plaintiff claims, *inter alia*, that Defendants failed to pay he and Class Members their proper wages due to time shaving resulting in unpaid regular and overtime compensation, and also failed to meet the NYLL’s requirements on wage statements and notices. Plaintiff, for himself and others he claims are similarly situated, sought to recover, *inter alia*, unpaid regular and overtime wages, liquidated damages, penalties, injunctive relief and attorneys’ fees and costs. Defendants have disputed, and continue to dispute, Plaintiff’s

allegations in this lawsuit, and Defendants deny any liability for any of the claims that have or could have been alleged by Plaintiff or the persons that they seek to represent.

II. Definition Of The Settlement Class

The Parties have entered into the Settlement Agreement solely for the purposes of compromising and settling their disputes in this matter. As part of the Settlement Agreement, Defendant has agreed not to oppose, for settlement purposes only, conditional certification under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(3) and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) of the following settlement class (the “Class”):

Named Plaintiff and all individuals who worked for Defendants in New York City as direct care staff, consisting of the job titles Residential Habilitation Counselor, Home Health Aide, and Personal Care Aide, from October 5, 2012 until September 11, 2019.

III. Designation Of The Class As An FLSA Collective Action

The Court finds that the members of the Class are similarly situated within the meaning of Section 16(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), for purposes of determining whether the terms of settlement are fair. Accordingly, the Court conditionally certifies the Class as an FLSA collective action. The Court authorizes the Notice (attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement) to be mailed to potential members of the FLSA collective action, notifying them of the pendency of the FLSA claim, and of their ability to join the lawsuit.

Members of the Class who sign, negotiate, endorse, deposit or cash their Settlement Checks in this matter will acknowledge the following: “RELEASE OF CLAIMS: By endorsing this check, I consent to join the case entitled *Villar v. AHRC Home Care Services, Inc., et al.*, Case No.: 18-cv-09174, and I hereby release Defendants from all wage and hour claims under

the Fair Labor Standards Act, the New York Labor Law and any other applicable wage and hour law, rule or regulation.”

Following final approval, the administrator’s mailing of Settlement Checks and the expiration of the time period for individuals to endorse, deposit or cash their Settlement Checks, copies of all Settlement Checks will be submitted to the Court under seal, and a list of all individuals that have endorsed, deposited and cashed their Settlement Checks shall be publicly filed on the docket, in order for the Court to issue a final order closing the case.

IV. Rule 23 Certification of the Class

Preliminary settlement approval, provisional class certification, and appointment of class counsel have several practical purposes, including avoiding the costs of litigating class status while facilitating a global settlement, ensuring all Class members are notified of the terms of the proposed Agreement, and setting the date and time of the final approval hearing. *See In re Gen. Motors Corp. Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 55 F.3d 768, 790-92 (3d Cir. 1995) (noting practical purposes of provisionally certifying settlement class); *Almonte v. Marina Ice Cream Corp.*, No. 1:16-CV-00660 (GBD), 2016 WL 7217258, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 8, 2016) (conditionally certifying wage and hour settlement class and granting preliminary approval); *Clem v. Keybank, N.A.*, No. 13 CIV. 789 JCF, 2014 WL 1265909, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 27, 2014) (same). In examining potential conditional certification of the Class, the Court has considered: (1) the allegations, information, arguments and authorities cited in the Motion for Preliminary Approval and supporting memorandum and declarations; (2) the allegations, information, arguments and authorities provided by the Parties in connection with the pleadings and motions filed by each of them in this case; (3) information, arguments, and authorities provided by the Parties in conferences and arguments before this Court; (4) Defendants’

conditional agreement, for settlement purposes only, not to oppose conditional certification of the Class; (5) the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including, but not limited to, the definition of the Class; and (6) the elimination of the need, on account of the Settlement, for the Court to consider any trial manageability issues that might otherwise bear on the propriety of class certification. The parties have provided the Court with information “sufficient to enable it to determine whether to give notice of the proposal to the class.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1). Notice to class members who would be bound by the Settlement is justified by the parties’ showing “that the [C]ourt will likely be able to: (i) approve the proposal under Rule 23(e)(2); and (ii) certify the class for purposes of judgment on the proposal.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1)(B).

This Court finds (exclusively for the present purposes of evaluating the Settlement) that Plaintiff meets all the requirements for class certification under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3). As required under Rule 23(a), Plaintiff has demonstrated that the Class is sufficiently numerous, that there are common issues across the Class, that the Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the Class, and that the Plaintiff and his attorneys would be effective as Class Representative and Class counsel, respectively. The Court also finds that the common issues identified by Plaintiff predominate over any individual issues in the case such that class action is superior in this context to other modes of litigating this dispute. Because the class certification request is made in the context of settlement only, the Court need not address the issue of manageability. The Court therefore concludes that the purported Class satisfies the elements of Rule 23(b)(3). Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 23(c) and (e), the court certifies this Class for the purposes of settlement, notice and award distribution only.

Should this Settlement not receive final approval, be overturned on appeal, or otherwise not reach completion, the Class and collective certification granted above shall be dissolved

immediately upon notice to the Plaintiff and Defendants, and this certification shall have no further effect in this case or in any other case. Plaintiff will retain the right to seek class and collective certification in the course of litigation, and Defendants will retain the right to oppose class and collective certification. Neither the fact of this certification for settlement purposes only, nor the findings made herein, may be used to support or oppose any Party's position as to any future class or collective certification decision in this case, nor shall they otherwise have any impact on such future decision.

V. Appointment Of Class Representative, Class Counsel, And Claims Administrator

Named Plaintiff Francisco Villar is appointed as representative of the Class, both under Rule 23 and under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

C.K. Lee, Esq. of Lee Litigation Group, PLLC is appointed as class counsel for the Class ("Class Counsel").

Advanced Litigation Strategies, LLC is appointed as Claims Administrator.

VI. Disposition Of Settlement Class If Settlement Agreement Does Not Become Effective

If, for any reason, the Settlement Agreement ultimately does not become effective, Defendants' agreement not to oppose conditional certification of the Class shall be null and void in its entirety; this Order conditionally certifying the Class shall be vacated; the Parties shall return to their respective positions in this lawsuit as those positions existed immediately before the Parties executed the Settlement Agreement; and nothing stated in the Settlement Agreement, the Motion, this Order, or in any attachments to the foregoing documents shall be deemed an admission of any kind by any of the Parties or used as evidence against, or over the objection of, any of the Parties for any purpose in this action or in any other action. In particular, the Class certified for purposes of settlement shall be decertified, and Defendants will retain the right to

contest whether this case should be maintained as a class action or collective action and to contest the merits of the claims being asserted by Plaintiff.

VII. Preliminary Approval Of The Terms Of The Settlement Agreement, Including The Proposed Plan Of Allocation

The Court has reviewed the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the description of the Settlement in the Motion papers. Based on that review, the Court concludes that the Settlement is within the range of possible Settlement approval such that notice to the Class is appropriate.

The Court has also read and considered the declaration of Class Counsel in support of preliminary approval. Based on review of that declaration, the Court concludes that the Settlement was negotiated at arm's length and is not collusive. The Court further finds that Class Counsel were fully informed about the strengths and weaknesses of the Class' case when they entered into the Settlement Agreement.

As to the proposed plan of allocation, the Court finds that the proposed plan is rationally related to the relative strengths and weaknesses of the respective claims asserted. The proposed plan of allocation is also within the range of possible approval such that notice to the Class is appropriate.

Accordingly, the Court hereby grants preliminary approval to the Settlement Agreement and the Plan of Allocation.

VIII. Approval Of The Form And Manner Of Distributing Class Notice and Opt-Out Statement

Plaintiff has also submitted for this Court's approval a proposed Class Notice. The proposed Class Notice appears to be the best notice practical under the circumstances and appears to allow the Class Members a full and fair opportunity to consider the Parties' proposed settlement and develop a response. The proposed plan for distributing the Class Notice appears

to be a reasonable method calculated to reach all Class Members who would be bound by the settlement.

The Class Notice fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably informs Class Members of:

- (1) appropriate information about the nature of this litigation, the settlement class at issue, the identity of Class Counsel, and the essential terms of the Settlement Agreement and Settlement;
- (2) appropriate information about Class Counsel's forthcoming application for attorneys' fees and other payments that will be deducted from the settlement fund;
- (3) appropriate information about how to participate in the Settlement;
- (4) appropriate information about this Court's procedures for final approval of the Settlement Agreement and Settlement;
- (5) appropriate information about how to challenge or opt-out of the Settlement, if they wish to do so;
- (6) appropriate information about how to notify the Claims Administrator of any address changes;
- and (7) appropriate instructions as to how to obtain additional information regarding this litigation, the Settlement Agreement, and the Settlement.

The Court, having reviewed the proposed Class Notice, finds and concludes that the proposed plan for distributing the same will provide the best notice practicable, satisfies the notice requirements of Rule 23(e), and satisfies all other legal and due process requirements. Accordingly, the Court hereby Orders as follows:

1. The form and manner of distributing the proposed Class Notice are hereby approved.

2. Promptly following the entry of this Order, the Claims Administrator shall prepare final versions of the Class Notice, incorporating into the Class Notice the relevant dates and deadlines set forth in this Order.

3. Defendants will make all reasonable efforts to ensure that the Claims Administrator receives, within fourteen (14) calendar days of the date of entry of this Order, the Class Member Information specified in the Settlement Agreement.

4. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of entry of this Order, the Claims Administrator shall mail, via First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid, the final version of the Class Notice using each Class Member's last known address. The Claims Administrator shall take reasonable steps to obtain the correct address of any Class Member for whom the notice is returned by the post office as undeliverable and otherwise to provide the Class Notice. The Claims Administrator will attempt re-mailing to Class Members for whom the mailing is returned as undeliverable. The Claims Administrator shall notify Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel of any mail sent to Class Members that is returned as undeliverable after the first mailing, as well as any such mail returned as undeliverable after the subsequent mailing.

5. The Claims Administrator shall take all other actions in furtherance of claims administration as are specified in the Settlement Agreement.

IX. Procedures For Final Approval Of The Settlement

A. Fairness Hearing

The Court hereby schedules, for TBD, at the hour of _____, a hearing to determine whether to grant final certification of the Settlement Class, and the FLSA collective action, and final approval of the Settlement Agreement and the Plan of Allocation (the "Fairness Hearing"). At the Fairness Hearing, the Court also will consider any petition that may be filed for the payment of attorneys' fees and costs/expenses to Class Counsel, and any service payments to be made to the Plaintiff. Class Counsel shall file their petition for an award of

attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs/expenses and the petition for an award of service payments no later than 15 days prior to the Fairness Hearing.

B. Deadline To Request Exclusion From The Settlement

Class Members who wish to be excluded from the Settlement must submit a written and signed request to opt out to the Claims Administrator ("Opt-Out Statement"). To be effective, such Opt-Out Statements must be delivered to the Claims Administrator and postmarked by a date certain to be specified on the Notice, which will be sixty (60) calendar days after the Claims Administrator makes the initial mailing of the notice, or thirty days after the re-mailing, provided that no Opt-Out Statement may be postmarked more than sixty (60) days after the date of the Preliminary Approval Order.

The Claims Administrator shall stamp the postmark date of the Opt-Out Statement on the original of each Opt-Out Statement that it receives and shall serve copies of each Statement on Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel not later than three (3) days after receipt thereof. The Claims Administrator also shall, within fourteen (14) days after the end of the Opt-Out Period, provide Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel a final list of all Class Members who mailed Opt-out Statements. The Claims Administrator shall retain the stamped originals of all Opt-Out Statements and originals of all envelopes accompanying Opt-Out Statements in its files until such time as the Claims Administrator is relieved of its duties and responsibilities under this Agreement.

C. Deadline For Filing Objections To Settlement

Class Members who wish to present objections to the proposed settlement at the Fairness Hearing must first do so in writing. To be considered, a written objection must be mailed to the Claims Administrator via First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid, and be received by the

Claims Administrator by a date that is not more than sixty (60) days from the mailing of the Notice to the Class Member and no later than sixty (60) days from the date of the Preliminary Approval Order.

The Claims Administrator will stamp the date received on the original and send copies of each objection to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel by e-mail and overnight delivery no later than three (3) days after receipt.

D. Deadline For Filing Motion For Judgment And Final Approval

No later than fifteen (15) days before the Fairness Hearing, Plaintiff will submit a Motion for Judgment and Final Approval of the Settlement Agreement and Settlement.

E. Plaintiff And Class Members' Release

If, at the Fairness Hearing, this Court grants Final Approval to the Settlement Agreement and Settlement, Plaintiff, and each individual Class Member who does not timely opt out will release claims, by operation of this Court's entry of the Judgment and Final Approval, as described in the Settlement Agreement.

F. Qualification for Payment

Any Class Member who does not opt out will qualify for payment and will be sent a check containing his or her distribution of the Settlement after final approval of the settlement.

DATED: Nov 6, 2020


The Honorable Ona T. Wang
United States Magistrate Judge

